UNIT - 2

Unit-02/Lecture-01

Solution of algebraic & transcendental equations by regula falsi method

ALGEBRATIC & TRANSCENDENTAL EQUATIONS

- -> POLYNOMIAL An expression of the form $P_n(x) = a_0 x^0 + a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + a_{n-1} x + a_n$ where a_0, a_1, a_n are Constants, notis positive integer, is called a polynomial in x of degree n provided $a_0 \neq 0$
- -> TRANSCENDENTAL EQUATION
 If a function fext contains some other functions such as trigonometric, logarithmic, exponential etc. then fext = 0 is called transcendental equation.

Methods of Solving Equations - i. Regula falsi method

ii. Newton - Raphson method

iii. Iterative method

iv. Secant method

1. Regula falsi Method
The oldest method for computing the read root of a numerical equation is the method of false

a numerical equation is the method of false position, or "CRegula falsis".

Let the root lie between a and b. These number a and b should be as close - together as possible. Since the root lies between a and b, the graph of y=f(x) must cross the x-axis between x=a and x=b and f(a) and f(b) must have opposite signs.

$$\chi = \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} \quad \text{if } f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$$

[RGPV DEC(2013)] [7]

EXAMPLE -

Find a real root of the equation $x^3-2x-5=0$ by the method of false position correct to three decimal places (RUPV, Bhopal, June 2010)

Soution -

Let
$$f(x) = x^3 - 2x - 5$$

 $f(1) = 1 - 2 - 5 = -6$
 $f(2) = 8 - 4 - 5 = -1 = -4$
 $f(3) = 27 - 6 - 5 = 16 = 44$
 $\Rightarrow f(2) \cdot f(3) < 0$

The root lies between 2 and 3

Let a=2 and b=3

$$x_1 = \frac{a f(x) - b f(x)}{f(x) - f(x)} = \frac{2f(x) - 3f(x)}{f(x) - f(x)} = \frac{2(16) - 3(-1)}{16 - (-1)}$$

$$= \frac{32+3}{17} = 2.0588$$

Now, $f(2.0588) = (2.0588)^3 - 2(2.0588) - 5 = -0.3911$ and f(3) = 16, f(2.0588). $f(3) \angle 0$

... Root lies between 2.0588 and 3 a = 2.0588 and b = 3

$$x_2 = 2.0813$$

 $f(2.0813) = (2.0813)^3 - 2(2.0813) - 5 = -0.1468$ and f(3) = 16, $f(2.0813) \cdot f(3) + 0$

. Root lies between 2.0813 and 3

a=2.0813 and b=3

 $f(2.0897) = (2.0897)^3 - 2(2.0897) - 5 = -0.0540$ and f(3) = 16, $f(2.0897) \times f(3) < 0$

.. Root lies between 2.0897 and 3

$$\alpha = 2.0897, b=3$$

[RGPV JUNE(2014)] [7]

 $x_4 = 2.0928$ f(2.0928) = (2.0928)3- 2(2.0928)-5= 0.0195 ana fcs) = 16, f(2.0928). f(3) LO :. Root lies between 2.0928 and 3 a=2.0928 and b=3 $\chi_{r} = 2.0939$ F(2.0939) = (2.0939)3-2(2.0939)-5= -0.0073 and f(3) = 16, f(2.0939). f(3) LO .. Root lies between 2.0939 and 3 a=2.0939 , b=3 X6 = 2.0943 FC2.0943) = (2.0943)3 - 2(2.0943) - 5 = -0.0028 and f(3) =16, f(2.0943). f(3) LO : Root lies between 2.0933 and 3 a= 2.0943 and b=3 2.0945

Since $x_6 = x_7$ are correct upto three places of decimals so the sequired scot of the given equation is 2.094. Ans.

EXAMPLE -

find the real root of the equation $x \log_{10}x = 1.2$ by the method of false position (i.e. Regula falsi method) (orrect to four decimal places.

(RGPV, Bhopal, TII Sem June 2009) Dec 2007, 2002)

SOLUTION -

Let
$$f(x) = x \log_{10}x - 1.2$$

 $f(2) = 2 \log_{10}2 - 1.2 = -0.59794$;
 $f(3) = 3 \log_{10}3 - 1.2 = 0.23136$

.. One root lies between 2 and 3 Taking a=2, b=3, f(2)=-0.59794, f(3)=0.23136

By method of false Position, we have $x_1 = \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{f(b) - f(a)}$ $x_1 = \frac{2f(3) - 3f(2)}{f(3) - f(2)} = \frac{2(0.23136) - 3(-0.59784)}{(0.23136) - (-0.59784)}$ = 2.72102

 $f(2.72102) = (2.72102) \log_{10} 2.72102 - 1.2 = -6.01709$ Since, f(2.72102) and f(3) are of opposite sign, so the orothes between 2.72102 and 3

 $x_2 = 2.74021$ Now, f(2.74021) = -0.00038Since f(2.74021) f(3) < 0, so the root lies between 2.74021 and 3

 $\chi_3 = 2.74064$ Again f(2.74064) = -0.00001 and f(3) = 0.23136Since f(2.7406) f(3)-40, so the most lies between 2.7064 and 3

 $\chi_{\rm Y} = 2.74065$ Hence, the root Correct to four decimal places is 2.7407.

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year	Marks
Q.1	Define algebraic and transcendental equations.	RGPV,DEC	2
		2014	
Q. 2	The equation $x^6 - x^4 - x^3 - 1 = 0$, has one real root	RGPV,DEC	3
	between 1.4 and 1.5 . find the root to four decimal places	2014	
	by the method of false position.		

[RGPV JUNE(2014)] [2]

Newton Raphson Method

ii • NEWTON - RAPHSON METHOD

Let xo be an approximate root of fex)=0 and let x, =x0+h be the correct root so that f(x0+h)=0 To find h, we expand f(xo+h) by taylor's Series $f(x_0+h) = f(x_0) + hf'(x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2!} f''(x_0) + \dots$ [f(x_0+h)=0] 0 = f(xco) + h f'(xco) [neglecting the Secound and higher order derivative]

 $h = -\frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}$

ス= 20+h But

Putting the value of h, we get $\Rightarrow x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}$

X, is better approximation than x.

$$x_2 = x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{f'(x_1)}$$

 x_2 is better approximation than x_i .

Successive approximations are x3, x4, xn+1

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

which is the newton - Raphson formula.

- NOTE 1. Newton method is the best known procedure for finding the roots of an equation. It is applicable to the solution of all types of equation i.e., algebraic and transcendental and also useful for calcutating complex roots.
 - 2. This method is useful in case of large value of p'(x). for large f'(x), h will be small.
 - 3. This formula converges rapidely. If the initial approximation x_0 is taken very close to the root α .

Thus proper choice of xo is very important for the success of this method.

-> CONVERGIENCE OF NEWTON - RAPHSON FORMULA

By Newton - Raphson formula

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Let $dx = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} = \frac{xf'(x) - f(x)}{f'(x)}$

on differentiating both sides w.r. t'x' we get $\phi'(x) = \frac{f'(x) \left[f'(x) + x f''(x) - p'(x)\right] - \left[x f'(x) - f(x)\right] f''(x)}{\left[f'(x)\right]^2}$

$$\Rightarrow \phi'(xx) = \frac{\left[f'(xx)\right]^2 + xf'(xx)f''(xx) - \left[f'(xx)\right]^2 - xf'(xx)f''(xx) + f(xx)f''(xx)}{\left[f'(xx)\right]^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi'(x) = \frac{f(x) f''(x)}{[f'(x)]^2}$$

For Convergence, 10'(a)[2]

EXAMPLE -

Use Newton - Raphson method to Solve the equation $x^3-3x+1=0$ (extrect to your decimal places LRiv. PV., Bhopal, Dec 2009)

Solution.
$$f(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1 = 0$$
, $f'(x) = 3x^2 - 3$
 $f(0) = +1$, $f'(0) = -3$
 $f(1) = 1 - 3 + 1 = -1$

Root lies between 0 and 1. Since f (8) f (1) < 0

Initial approximation is $x_0 = 0$

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)} = 0 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33333$$

$$f(0.33333) = (0.33333)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3(0.333333) + 1 = 0.03704 - 1 + 1 = 0.03704$$

$$f'(0.33333) = 3(0.33333)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3 = 0.33333 - 3 = -2.66667$$

$$\chi_{2} = 0.33333 - \frac{f(0.333333)}{\rho'(0.333333)} = 0.33333 - \frac{0.03704}{-2.66667}$$

$$= 0.333333 + 0.01389 = 0.34722$$

$$f(0.34722) = (0.34722)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3(0.34722) + 1 = 0.04186 - 1.04166 + 1 = 0.0002$$

$$f'(0.34722) = 3(0.34722)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3 = 0.36169 - 3 = -2.63831$$

$$\chi_{3} = 0.34722 - \frac{f(0.34722)}{\rho'(0.34722)} = 0.34722 - \frac{0.0002}{-2.63831}$$

= 0.34722+0.00008 = 0.3473 F(0.3473) = (0.3473)3-3(0.3473)+1 = 0.04189-1.0419+1=0 The root of the given equation is \$ 0.3473

EXAMPLE - Using Newton's iterative Method, find the real root of xlogiox = 1.2 correct to five decimal places. CR. U. P.V, Bhopal, III Sem, feb 2010, Dec. 2005)

Solution -

Let
$$f(x) = x \log_{10}x - 1.2$$
 $f(1) = -1.2 = -ve$,

 $f(2) = 2 \log_{10}2 - 1.2 = -0.59794 = -ve$
 $f(3) = 3 \log_{10}3 - 1.2 = +1.4314 - 1.2 = 0.23136 = +v$
 $f(2) \cdot f(3) \leq 0$

So, a root of $f(x) = 0$ lies between 2 and 3

Let us take $x_0 = 2$

also, $f'(x) = \log_{10}x + x \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log_{10}e = \log_{10}x + 0.43429$

... Newton's iterative formula gives
$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = x_n \frac{x_n \log_{10} x_n - 1 \cdot 2}{\log_{10} x_n + 0 \cdot 43429}$$

$$x_n \log_{10} x_n + 0 \cdot 43429 x_n - x_n \log_{10} x_n + 1 \cdot 2$$

= - xnlog10xn + 0.43429xn - xnlog10xn+1.2

[RGPV JUNE(2002)] [7]

Putting
$$x_0 = 2$$
, the first approximation is
$$x_1 = \frac{0.43429 \times x_0 + 1.2}{\log_{10} x_0 + 0.43429} = \frac{0.43429 \times 2 + 1.2}{\log_{10} 2 + 0.43429}$$
$$= \frac{0.86858 + 1.2}{0.30103 + 0.43429} = 2.81$$

Similarly putting n = 1,2,3,4 in (1), we get

Hence, the required root is 2.74065 Correct to five decimal places.

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year	Marks	
Q.1	Find the smallest positive root of the equation	RGPV,DEC, 2014	2	
	$x^3 - 2x + 0.5 = 0$ by Newton raphson method.			
Q. 2	Find the negative root of the equation	RGPV,DEC 2013	7	
	$x^3 - 21x + 3500 = 0$ correct to 2 decimal places by newton raphson method.			

Iterative Method

3. ITERATION METHOD

Consider the equation fix =0 we sewrite the equation in the form

x= 0(x)

Let us draw two curves

y = x and y = 0(x)

The point of intersection of two curves is the root of (1) Let $x=\infty_0$ be an initial approximate root, then first approximation x_i is found by

21 > 0 (20)

Now taking x, as initial value, x2 secound approximation is given by

 $x_2 = \phi(x_1)$ and so on.

xnt1 = & (xn)

This is also known as successive approximation method.

NOTE :-

- 1. The rate of lovergence is more if the value of o'(x) is smaller
- 2. For real root, the method is very useful.

EXAMPLE -

find a real root of $2x - \log_{10}x = 7$ Correct to three decimal places using Iteration method.

CR.U.P.V. Bhopal, (IL sem, Dec 2006)

SOLUTION

The given equation is $2x - \log_{10} x = 7$

which can be written as

x > 1 (log 10x +7)

[RGPV MARCH(2010), JUNE(2003, 2008, 2010), FEB(2005, 2010)] [7]

Here,
$$\phi \propto = \frac{1}{2} \text{ Cloglo} \times +7)$$
 (1)
Let $\chi_0 = 3.8$
Putting the value of $\chi = 3.8$ in (1), we get
$$\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\log_{10} 3.8 + 7 \right)$$

$$= 3.79$$
On putting,
$$\chi = 3.79 \text{ in (1), we get}$$

$$\chi_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\log_{10} 3.79 + 7 \right)$$

$$= 3.7893$$
Again putting,
$$\chi = 3.7893 \text{ in (1), we get}$$

$$\chi_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\log_{10} 3.7893 + 7 \right)$$

$$= 3.7893$$
Since, $\chi_2 = \chi_3$
The root of the given equation is 3.7893 .

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year	Marks
Q.1	Find the root of the equation $3x = \cos x + 1$ by	RGPV,JUNE 2014	3
	iterative method correct to 2 decimal places.		
Q. 2	Find the cube root of 15 correct to four significant	RGPV, DEC 2010	7
	figures by iterative method.		

[RGPV JUNE(2007)] [7]

Secant Method

4. SECANT METHOD (CHORD METHOD)

This method is quite similar to that of false position method and it is improved method over Regula falsi method. Here it is not necessary to fulfill the Condition f(x1) f(x2) LO.

Here the graph of f(x) is approximated by a secont line (chord) The root may or may not lie in the interval [a, b]

$$\frac{a f(b) - b f(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} = x,$$
1 Time

$$\frac{bf(x_1)-x_1f(b)}{f(x_1)-f(b)}=x_2$$

$$\frac{x_1 f(x_2) - x_2 f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)} = x_3$$

apply the formula in the Following way -

$$\frac{a f(b) - b f(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} = x_1$$

$$\frac{b f(x_1) - x_1 f(b)}{f(x_1) - f(b)} = x_2$$

$$\frac{x_1 f(x_2) - x_2 f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)} = x_3$$
In time
$$\frac{x_1 f(x_2) - x_2 f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)} = x_3$$
and so on....

If $f(x_{n-1}) = f(x_n)$ then the method falls. But the rate of convergence in secant method is greater than that of Regula falsi.

EXAMPLE -

Criven the equation 24-x-10=0, determine the initial approximations for finding its smallest positive root. Use these to find the root correct to three decimal places with secant method.

Let
$$f(x) = x^4 - x - 10 = 0$$

 $f(x) = 1 - 10 = -10$, $a = 1$
 $f(x) = 16 - 2 - 10 = +44$, $b = 2$

$$x_1 = \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} ...$$

$$= \frac{-0.86625}{-0.46684} = 1.85561$$

$$f(1.85561) = (1.85561)^4 - (1.85561) - 10 = 0.00062$$

Taking $a = 1.85778$ and $b = 1.85561$

$$x_y = x_{\epsilon}$$

Hence, the root of the given equation is 1.8556.

[RGPV DEC(1999)] [7]

Solution of simultaneous linear equations by gauss elimination method

SOLUTION of SIMULTANEOUS LINEAR EQUATION

- 1. DIRECT METHOD
 - a) braws elimination method
 - b) Gauss-Jordan method
 - c) Crouts method (factorisation method)
- 2. ITERATIVE METHOD
 - a) Jacobi method
 - b) Grauss seidel method

1.a) Copuss Elimination Method:

In this method the unknowns of equation below are eliminated and the system is reduced to an upper triangular system. the unknowns are obtained by back substitution.

EXAMPLE - Solve by Crauss elimination method:

$$2x-y+3z=9$$

 $x+y+z=6$
 $x-y+z=2$

CR. G. P. Y Bhopal, June 2009)

SOLUTION - Here we have

$$2x-y+3z=9$$
 --- (1)
 $2(+y+z)=6$ --- (2)
 $x-y+z=2$ --- (3)

Step 1. In terchanging (1) and (2) we have.

Step 2. Substracting 2(1) from (2) and (1) from (3) we get. -3y+z=3 $-2y=-4 \implies y=2$

Step 3 Backward Substitution

Putting the value of y = 2 in (4), we have $-6 + z = -3 \implies z = 3$ Rutting the values of y = 2 in (1) we get

Rutting the values of y and z in (1) we get $x+2+3=6 \implies x=1$

Hence 2=1, y=2 and z=3

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year	Marks
Q.1	Solve by gauss elimination method the following		7
	system of equations:	2013	
	10x+y+2z=13 ,3x+10y+z=14 , 2x+3y+10z=15.		

[RGPV DEC(2001)] [7]

Gauss Jordan Method

1.67 CHAUSS - JORDAN METHOD -

This is modification of Gauss elimination method.

By this method we eliminate unknowns not only from the equations below but also from the equation above. In this way the system is reduced to a diagonal matrix.

Finally each equation Consists of only one unknown and thus, we get the Solution. Here, the labour of backward Substitution for finding the unknown is saved.

EXAMPLE: Apply Gauss-Jordan method to solve the equations!

3xt4y +5z = 40 CR.G.P.V Bhopal MSem, Dec 2007

SOLUTION:

The following system of linear equation is written in matrix form:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 13 \\ 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

By using Gauss-Jordan method we have
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 13 \\ 40 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -5 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -5 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 3R \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -5 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{12}{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -5 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} R_3 \Rightarrow R_3 + \frac{1}{5} R_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{7}{5} \\ 0 & -5 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{12}{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -5 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} R_1 \Rightarrow R_1 + \frac{1}{5}R_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{12}{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -15 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \Rightarrow R_1 - \frac{7}{12} R_3 \\ R_2 \Rightarrow R_2 - \frac{5}{6} R_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \chi \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} R_2 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{5} R_2$$

$$R_3 \Rightarrow \underbrace{S}_{12} R_3$$

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year	Marks
Q.1	Apply gauss Jordan method to find the solution of the	RGPV DEC	7
	following system of equation:	2014	
	10x+y+z=12 , 2x+10y+z=13 , x+y+5z=7		

Crouts method

1.C > CROUTS - TRIANGULARISATION METHOD -

This method is also called as decomposition method. the coefficients matrix A of the system AX=B is decomposed or factorised as the product of lower triangular matrix and an upper triangular matrix U. this method is based on the fact that every square matrix A. is the product of a lower triangular matrix and an upper triangular matrix.

EXAMPLE - Solve the equations ; 3244七2=4 x + 24 + 2z = 32x+4+32 = 4

by Crout's method (RGPV Bhopal, feb. 2010)

SOLUTION: The given equation are written in matterx form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 1_{21} & 1_{22} & 0 \\ 1_{31} & 1_{32} & 33 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0_{12} & 0_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{11} v_{12} & l_{11} v_{13} \\ l_{21} & l_{21} v_{12} + l_{22} & l_{21} v_{13} + l_{22} v_{23} \\ l_{31} & l_{31} v_{12} + l_{32} & l_{31} v_{13} + l_{32} v_{23} + l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Equating, we get

$$l_{tt} = 3$$

$$l_n = 3 \qquad l_n \cup_{i \geq 1} = 1$$

$$l_{21} = 1 \qquad l_{21} \cup_{12} + l_{32} = 2 \qquad l_{21} \cup_{13} + l_{22} \cup_{23} = 2$$

$$l_{31} = 2 \qquad l_{31} \cup_{12} + l_{32} = 1 \qquad l_{31} \cup_{13} + l_{32} \cup_{23} + l_{33} = 3$$

From the above, we get

$$U_{13} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$l_{21} = 3$$
 $v_{22} = \frac{5}{3}$ $v_{23} = 1$

$$l_{32} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$A = LU = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5/3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1/3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The given equations are

$$Ax=B$$
 $L\cup x=B$ ---- (1)

Let UX= Y so that (1) becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 573 & 0 \\ 2 & 73 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2y_1 + \frac{1}{3}y_1 + 2y_3 = 4 \Rightarrow 2(43) + \frac{1}{3}(1) + 2\xi y_3 = 4$$

=> $2y_3 = 1 \Rightarrow y_3 = \frac{1}{2}$

We know
$$UX = Y$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 13 & 13 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
2
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
4/3 \\
1/2
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$X + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$y + 2 = 1 \implies 2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

Backward Substitution

$$y + \frac{1}{2} = 1 \implies y = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$x + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{3} \implies x + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \implies x = 1$$

$$x = 1, y = \frac{1}{2}, z = \frac{1}{2}$$

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year		Marks
Q.1	Solve the following equations by crouts method	RGPV	DEC	7
	10x+y+z=12 , 2x+10y+z=13 , 2x+2y+10z=14	2013		
Q.2	Solve the following equations by the application of crouts	RGPV	DEC	7
	triangularization(LU) method :	2010		
	2x-3y+10z=3, -x+4y+2z=20 , 5x+2y+z=-12			

Jacobi's method

2. ITERATIVE METHODS OR INDIRECT METHODS:we start with an approximation to the true
Solution and by applying the method repeatedly we get
better and better approximation till accurated solution
is achieved.

There are two pterative methods for solving simultaneous equation.

- a) Jacobi's method (method of Successive consection)
- b) Grauss-Seidel method Comethod of Successive Correction)

2-a) Jacobi's Method Note - (ordition for using the?

Note-Condition for using the Herative methods is that the coefficients in the leading diagonal are large compared to the other. If these are not so, then on interchanging the equation we can make the leading diagonal dominant diagonal.

EXAMPLE - Solve by Jacobi's method 2x+y-2z=17 3x+20y-z=-18 2x-3y+20z=25 (R.U.PN, Bhopal, June 2009)

Solution - Coefficient in the leading diagonal are large compared to the other.

The above equations can be written as .

$$\chi = \frac{17}{20} - \frac{4}{20} + \frac{27}{20}$$

$$y = \frac{-18}{20} - \frac{3x}{20} + \frac{2}{20}$$

$$z = \frac{25}{20} - \frac{2x}{20} + \frac{34}{20}$$

Iteration 1. On Substituting x=y=z=0 on the right side of (1), we obtain

Iteration 2. $\chi > \frac{17}{20}$, $y = -\frac{18}{20}$, $z = \frac{25}{20}$

Iteration 3. Again substituting the value of x, y and z on R. H.s of as, we get.

$$3L = \frac{17}{20} + \frac{18}{400} + \frac{50}{400} = \frac{408}{400} = 1.02$$

$$y = \frac{-18}{20} - \frac{51}{400} + \frac{25}{400} = \frac{-386}{400} = -0.965$$

$$z = \frac{25}{20} - \frac{34}{400} - \frac{54}{400} = \frac{412}{400} = 1.03$$

Iteration 4. Repeating the process for x = 1.02, y = -0.965 and z = 1.03, we have

$$\chi = 0.85 + \frac{6.965}{20} + 2 \frac{(1.03)}{20} = 1.00125$$

$$y = -0.9 - 3\frac{(1.02)}{20} + \frac{1.03}{20} = -1.0015$$

$$Z = 1.25 - 2 \frac{(1.02)}{20} + 3 \frac{(-0.365)}{20} = 1.00325$$

This can be written in the following table.

Iteration	1	-2	3	4	5
$\chi > \frac{17}{20} - \frac{4}{20} + \frac{2z}{20}$	ь	0.85	1.02	1.00125	1.0004
$y = \frac{-18}{20} - \frac{3x}{20} + \frac{2}{20}$	6	-0.9	-0.965	-1.0012	-1.000025
$z = \frac{25}{20} - \frac{2x}{20} + \frac{3y}{20}$	D	1.25	1.03	1.00325	0.33364

After 5th iteration X = 1.0004 y = -1.000025, Z = 0.99964The actual values X = 1, y = -1 Z = 1

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year		Marks
Q.1	Solve the following equations by crouts method	RGPV	DEC	7
	10x+y+z=12 , 2x+10y+z=13 , 2x+2y+10z=14	2013		
Q.2	Solve the following equations by the application of crouts	RGPV	DEC	7
	triangularization(LU) method :	2010		
	2x-3y+10z=3, -x+4y+2z=20 , 5x+2y+z=-12			

Gauss-seidel iterative method

2. b7 GIAUSS - SEIDEL METHOD

Crauss - Seidel method is a modification of Jacobi's method.

Note: 1) The convergence of Gauss- Scidal method is twice as fast as in Jacobi's method.

2) If the absolute value of largest coefficient is greater than the sum of the absolute value of all the otemaining coefficient than the method

EXAMPLE . Apply brawss - Seedel method to solve.

Correct upto two decimal places, taking

Xo=Yo=Zo=O CR.G.AV, Bhopal MSem Feb 2010)

SOLUTION: The given equations can be written as

Putting y= 2=0 Incl), we have x= 2.4

Putting -2.4 Z=0 in (2), we have

Putting x = 2.4 , y = 3.15 in (3), we have

Again starting from (1) and Putting y = 3.15, z = 2.26 we ge $x = \frac{12}{5} - \frac{2}{5} \times 3.17 - \frac{2.26}{5} = 0.688$

Similarly the process is carried on the roots so obtained are given in the following table !

·					
Iterations	1.	2	3	4	5
x= 12 - 2y - 2	2.4	0.688	0.84416	0.962612	o· 934 26864
y= 15- x-2	3.15	2.448	2.09736	2.013237	2.00034144
x=4-x=-24	2.26	2.8832	2-99222	3.0021828	3-00(009616.

Hence, exact roots are x=1, y=2, Z=3

S.NO	RGPV QUESTIONS	Year		Marks
Q.1	Solve the following equations by gauss seidal method	RGPV	DEC	7
	20x+y-2z=17 , 3x+20y-z=-18 , 2x-3y+20z=25	2013		
Q.2	Solve the following equations by gauss seidal method:	RGPV	DEC	7
	8x-y+z=18, 2x+5y-2z=3 , x+2y-3z=-6	2014		
Q. 3	Solve the following equations by using gauss seidal	RGPV	JUNE	7
	method:	2011		
	27x+6y-z=85, 6x+15y+2z=72 , x+y+54z=110			

Error and approximations

Absolute error, relative error and percentage errors.

Absolute error is the numerical difference between the true value and approximate value of a quantity.

If X is true value and X' is approximate value then X - X' is called absolute error.

$$e_a = |X - X'|$$

Relative error

$$e_r = \left| \frac{X - X'}{X} \right|$$

$$e_p = 100 \times e_r = 100 \times \left| \frac{X - X'}{X} \right|$$

Truncation and round off error in numerical calculations.

Truncation errors: They are caused by using approximate results or on replacing

an infinite process by a finite one.

e.g. If
$$\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \frac{x^8}{8!} - \dots = X$$
 (say) is truncated to $1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} = X'$

say, then truncation error = X - X'.

Round off errors : They arise when a calculated figure is rounded off to a fixed

number of digits to represent the exact figure, the difference between such rounded figure and exact figure is called round off error.

e.g. Round off 35.46735 correct to four significant digits.

Number rounded off to four significant digits = 35.47.

Types of errors arise in numerical calculations.

In numerical calculations we come across following types of errors

- (a) Inherent errors
- (b) Rounding errors
- (c) Truncation errors
- (d) Absolute errors
- (e) Relative errors
- (J) Percentage errors.

Q. 1.4. Explain rounding off errors and explain how to reduce it.

Sol. Rounding off errors-These errors arise from The process of rounding off the numbers during the computation. These errors can be reduced:

- (a) By changing the calculation procedure so as to avoid subraction of nearly equal number or division by a small number.
- (b) By retairing at least one more significant digit at each step and rounding off at last step.

Q. 1.5. Define absolute, relative and percentage errors by giving suitable example.

Sol. Absolute error:

$$e_a = |X - X'|$$

X - True value; X' - approximate value

Relative error:

$$e_r = \left| \frac{X - X'}{X} \right|$$

X - true value; X'- approximate value

Percentage error :

$$e_p = \left| \frac{X - X'}{X} \right| \times 100 = e_r \times 100$$

e.g. If true value = $\frac{10}{3}$ = X app. value = 3.33 = X'

Absolute error $e_a = |X - X'| = 0.003333$

Relative error $e_r = \left| \frac{X - X'}{X} \right| = 0.000999$

Percentage error $e_p = 0.000999 \times 100 = 0.0999$

Note: 1. If a no. is correct to *n* decimal places then error = $\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-n}$

2. If the first significant figure of no. is K and the no. is correct to n significant

figures, then relative error $< \frac{1}{K \times 10^{n-1}}$

Q. 1.6. Find the no. of terms of logarithm series such that value of log 1.02 is correct to three decimals.

Sol.
$$\log (1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \dots$$

If we retain n terms then $(n + 1)^{th}$ term = $(-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$.

For determination of log (1.02) correct to three decimals at x = 0.02.

$$\left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (0.02)^n}{n} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-3} \text{ where } n = 3 \text{ satisfies.}$$

Q. 1.7. The error in the measurement of area of circle is not allowed to exceed 0.1 %• How accurately should the diameter be measured?

$$A = \frac{\pi d^2}{4}$$

$$d = diameter$$

Taking log on both sides

$$\log A = \log \pi + 2 \log d - \log 4$$

Differentiating,

$$\frac{\delta A}{A} \times 100 = \frac{2}{d} \left(\delta d \times 100 \right)$$

$$\frac{\delta d}{d} \times 100 = \frac{0.1}{2} = 0.05.$$

Q. 1.14. Differentiate inherent errors and Truncation errors.

Ans. Inherent Errors: The interent error is that quantity which is already present in the statement of problem before its solution.

These errors arise either due to limitations of Mathematical tables, calculators and computers.

The inherent errors further classified as

(a) Data Errors (b) Conversion Error.

Truncation Error: The truncation error arised by using approximate results or on replacing infinite process by finite one. Suppose we have

$$\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \frac{x^8}{8!} - \dots = X(\text{say})$$

Let series truncated to

$$X' = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!}$$

Trunction error = |X - X'|

Ref	erence
Book	Author
Higher Engg. Mathematics	B.S.Grewal
Engg. Mathematics - III	Dr. D.C.Agarwal